women prisoners headed by Justice Krishna Iyer, in its report presented to the Government during May, 1987 *inter-alia,* made the following recommendations:

> the Committee has taken a neutral stance and recommends that depending on the individual preference of States, either the Family Courts with its amended Jurisdiction or the Women's Court should be instituted to dispense justice to women[1].
>
> In addition to the separate Women's Courts or Family Courts, it is recommended that *Nari Bandigriha Adalats* be held in the nature of mobile judicial camps as an immediate modality for rendering speedy redress to women in custody."

(c) The administration of Justice in the District/Subordinate Courts comes under the purview of the concerned State Governments and High Courts. It is within the prerogative of the State Governments/High Courts to set up such exclusive courts. The relevant recommendations made by the National Expert Committee on Women Prisoners have accordingly been forwarded to the State Governments for appropriate action.

Prosecutions against errant companies

2226. SHRI M. RAJASEKARA MURTHY : Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government in the interest of corporate transparency and compliance of the law of the Companies Act, 1956, ordered prosecution of errant Companies; and

(b) if so, the list of such Companies where prosecutions have been ordered during the past two financial years by the Company Law Board/Department of Company Affairs for infringing various provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 and the result thereof, separately, for each Company?

THE MINISTER OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI ARUN JAITLEY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) a large number of cases are filed in different courts all over India for violation of various provisions of the Companies Act, 1956. During the last two financial years the number of companies against whom prosecutions have been filed are as under :

1999-2000	2452 companies
2000-2001	1385 companies

The time and labour involved in compiling the information are not commensurate with the results likely to be obtained.

Lease Rental of Mumbai Port Trust

2227. SHR1 K. B. KRISHNA MURTHY: Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state :

(a) whether income from lease rental constitute major source of income for Port Trusts:

(b) whether the outstanding lease rental of Mumbai Port Trust recorded a whooping increase to Rs. 550.95 crore during the period 1994—99;

(c) the steps being taken by Mumbai Port Trust to recover lease rent arrears.

(d) whether it is a fact that Mumbai Port Trust did not furnish the data on land use plan in accordance with the Centre's guidelines of 1995. and

(c) if so. the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF SHIPPING (SHRI SHRIPAD YESSO NAIK): (a) No. Sir.

(b) The outstanding lease rentals of Mumbai Port Trust, which was Rs. 300.10 crorcs as on 31 -3-94. increased to Rs. 552.10 crorcs as on 31 -3-99.

(c) Legal action has been initiated by Mumbai Port Trust to recover outstanding lease rents.

(d) and (e) No data on Land Use Plan was required to be furnished in accordance with the guidelines of 1995.

Establishment expenditure of Cochin Port Trust

2228. SHRI K. B. KRISHNA MURTHY : Will the Minister of SHIPPING be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government's directives to port trusts restrict establishment expenditure to 30 per cent of operating expenditure:

(b) whether the Cochin Port Trust had been incurring very high establishment expenditure from 43 per cent to 53 per cent (of operating expenditure) in violation of Government's directives;

(c) whether per employee productivity in Cochin Port Trust is far below that of neighbouring ports like New Mangalore. Tuticorin, etc.; and